Attorney Docket No. 83634

Application Serial No: 10/627,144
In reply to Office Action of 4 August 2006

REMARKS / ARGUMENTS

application. No claims have been allowed. As such, claims 1-6 and 14-19 are rejected by this Office Action. Claims 1, 3, 5 and 6 are amended by this response. Claim 14 is canceled without prejudice or disclaimer.

These rejections and objections are respectfully traversed in view of these amendments and remarks that follow. This amendment and supporting remarks do not require a new search or substantial reconsideration.

In the Office Action, claims 1-6 and 14-19 were rejected under 35 USC 112, second paragraph as being indefinite for containing statements such as "modifications/additions, jobs/tasks and repair/supply". In response, claim 14 has been canceled without prejudice or disclaimer; therefore, the rejection of the Office Action is resolved for this claim.

In regard to the remaining claims, claims 1, 3, 5 and 6 have been amended to remove such equivalent language. As such, the rejections of the Office Action are resolved for these claims and dependant claims 2, 6 and 15-19.

In the Office Action, claims 1-6 and 14-19 were rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barnes (U.S. Patent No. 5,970,475) in view of Rad (U.S. Patent No.

8 of 12.

Application Serial No: 10/627,144
In reply to Office Action of 4 August 2006

Action is resolved for this claim.

Attorney Docket No. 83634

6,148,291) and in further view of Rose (U.S. Patent No. 6,901,377). In response, claim 14 has been canceled without prejudice or disclaimer; therefore, the rejection of the Office.

In regard to claim 1, upon which claims 2-5 depend, the claim has been amended to further recite the step of providing a graphical user interface including a plurality of single-click buttons for initiating a user-defined query that allows a user to access the collected data, manipulate the collected data, and selectively display a current status of the assets of the third party such that said interface is adaptable to constantly changing user requirements.

In regard to claim 6, upon which claims 15-19 depend, the claim has been amended to recite a computer for maintaining said first through said tenth data storage records and said plurality of user-definable queries and allowing a user to access, manipulate, and display selected structured collected data indicating the current status of the assets of the third party such that said interface is adaptable to constantly changing user requirements.

The advantage of the present invention is that a reasonably skilled user can build programs when assigned tasks since the interface is adaptable to constantly changing user requirement. Specifically, the present invention utilizes the

9 of 12

Application Serial No: 10/627,144 In reply to Office Action of 4 August 2006 Attorney Docket No. 83634

concept of the cited references yet creates a novel approach that allows an unskilled manager (production controller, engineer, or business) to design-his/her-interface for their specific customer requirements. In contrast, the cited references are indications of the skill set of the reasonably skilled person to an individual goal and in some cases a process.

For an organization, such as a military organization, the need is not just satisfied by an individual material movement system (such as the Rad reference, U.S. Patent No. 6,148,291) or a single repair job (such as the Rosenfield reference, U.S. Patent No. 6,901,377). The need could be any combination of duties, a typical request could be that the paying customer is only interested in knowing how long a repair activity keeps his/her repair before returning the item. Another customer could only request the final cost and would schedule work on a repetitive basis and quantity of good/bad results, and yet would require all of the above.

The collected data associated with the present invention allows feedback for repair trends, cost trends and the best utilization of expenditures. It is not the collected data in itself as it is the flexibility to allow the managers of data to define their interface to accomplish their duties by the

10 of 12

Application Sérial No: 10/627,144 In reply to Office Action of 4 August 2006

Attorney Docket No. 83634

customer (no one product can serve all needs) and to provide the least amount of "data clutter".

The databases can be designed to allow total separation of collected data so that customer privacy can be contained if a customer desiring privacy requests to utilize the invention.

Many of the cited references are fixed to one application and do not offer the flexibility of meeting customer requirements without the extensive rewrite of their code. The present invention utilizes a root template of data inputs for collection that can copy with each new customer and if necessary be adapted with minor coding to accommodate unique issues, but rarely if necessary since all the data input requirements are based on populating supporting dynamic data boxes with customer specific requirements and only unique reports may be required to be created.

Based on the differences in data input and user interface where the user interface is adaptable to constantly changing user requirements, it would not be obvious to one skilled in the art to combine the cited references to produce the method of amended claim 1 and the system of amended claim 6. As such, the rejection of the Office Action under 35 USC 103 is resolved for amended claim 1 and dependant claims 2-5 as well as for amended claim 6 and dependant claims 15-19.

11 of 12

PAGE 15/16 * RCVD AT 11/6/2006 2:11:52 PM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-5/18 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID:4018321231 * DURATION (mm-ss):02-36

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Application Serial No: 10/627,144 In reply to Office Action of 4 August 2006 Attorney Docket No. 83634

In view of the Remarks above, the Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and allowance of the application.

The Examiner is invited to telephone Michael P. Stanley;
Attorney for Applicants, at 401-832-4736 if, in the opinion of
the Examiner, such a telephone call would serve to expedite the
prosecution of the subject patent application.

Respectfully submitted, WILLIAM J. HELMS

16 October 2006

MICHAEL P. STANLEY Attorney of Record Reg. No. 47108